

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/824,631 | 04/14/2004 | Fabio Pellizzer | 854163.414 | 6272 | |
| 38106 | 7590 05/19/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC | | | NGUYEN, JOSEPH H | | |
| 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| <i>32.11122</i> , | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | 2815 | ···· | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| H | 'n |
|----|----|
| 71 | " |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/824,631 | PELLIZZER, FABIO | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Joseph Nguyen | 2815 | |

| Before the rining of an Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | Joseph Nguyen | 2815 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th | b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | , but prior to the date of filing a brid | ef, will <u>not</u> be entered | because | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | TE Below, | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below), (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | ejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) | | lient Amendmen | + (DTOL 324) | | | |
| | 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- |) \square will not be entered, or b) \boxtimes vovided below or appended. | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-17 and 19-31</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I | out before or on the date of filing a | Notice of Anneal will | not be entered | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | avit or other evidence | is necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a | | | | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | | | and# because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s |). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape | r No(s). <u>03/27/06</u> | # | | | |
| 13. 🛛 Other: See Continuation Sheet. | | | y | | | |
| ' | | KENNETH PAR | KER | | | |
| | CI IDE | RVISORY PATENT | EXAMINER | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claims 10, 20, 24 and 29, applicant argues Bez et al. does not disclose, "a sealing structure directly lying on said delimiting structure". However, as identified in the rejection of claims 10, 20, 24 and 29, elements 39, 40 and 42 as shown in figure 14 of Bez et al. can function as "sealing structure" since they are clearly sealing the phase change memory cell therein. As such, element 42 (sealing structure) is directly lying on the delimiting structure 27, 28. Further, there is no specific material referred to "sealing structure" recited. Therefore, the claimed sealing structure does not structurally distinguish from Bez et al. Lastly, it is noted that the memory portion 38 is in direct electrical contact with the top surface of the vertical wall of the cup shaped resistive element 22 via contact area 45 as shown in figure 15

Continuation of 13. Other: IDS filed on 03/27/2006 is not considered because it lacks the statement specified in paragraph (e) in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97.